## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Wendy Fonseca,

Civil Action No.: 1:09-cv-12156-RGS

Plaintiff,

V.

Richard J. Boudreau & Associates L.L.C.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant.

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a), the Plaintiff, Wendy Fonseca, files her First Amended Complaint. The Plaintiff by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendant's repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendant and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
  - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

#### **PARTIES**

4. The Plaintiff, Wendy Fonseca ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Newburyport, Massachusetts, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

- 5. The Defendant, Richard J. Boudreau & Associates L.L.C. ("Boudreau & Associates"), is a New Hampshire business entity with an address of 5 Industrial Way, Salem, New Hampshire 03079, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by Boudreau & Associates and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
- 7. Boudreau & Associates at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

#### **ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS**

#### A. The Debt

- 8. The Plaintiff incurred a financial obligation in the approximate amount of \$200.00 (the "Debt") to Providian Bank (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Boudreau & Associates for collection, or Boudreau & Associates was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

### B. Boudreau & Associates Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

- 12. The Defendants called the Plaintiff on or about November 20, 2009, and identified themselves as a law firm representing a debt collector.
  - 13. The Defendants refused to identify itself as a debt collector.
- 14. The Defendants threatened to treat the Plaintiff's demand for a debt verification letter as a refusal to pay.
- 15. The Defendants refused to explain the character of the debt when questioned by the Plaintiff and stated that her account was in emergency status.
  - 16. The Defendants threatened to bring legal action if the Plaintiff refused to pay.
- 17. The Defendants stated that the Plaintiff does not have a right to dispute a debt like hers.
- 18. The Defendants caused the Plaintiff's telephone to ring every two hours over the course of the day, on weekdays and on weekends.
  - 19. The Defendants hang up on the Plaintiff when speaking to her over the telephone.
- 20. The Defendants used rude, abusing and intimidating language when speaking to the Plaintiff over the telephone.
- 21. The Defendants threatened to call the Plaintiff's friends and family in order to embarrass her.
  - 22. The Defendants called the Plaintiff a "liar" and a "deadbeat"
- 23. The Defendants did not list the address of the original creditor in their validation letter to the Plaintiff.

#### C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 24. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 25. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.
- 26. The Defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

### COUNT I VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

- 27. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 28. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.
- 29. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2) in that Defendants misrepresented the character, amount and legal status of the Debt.
- 30. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(3) in that Defendants misled the Plaintiff into believing the communication was from a law firm or an attorney.
- 31. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) in that Defendants threatened to take legal action, without actually intending to do so.
- 32. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) in that Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt.

- 33. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1) in that Defendants attempted to collect an amount not authorized by the agreement creating the Debt.
- 34. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice containing the address of the original creditor.
- 35. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b) in that Defendants continued collection efforts even though the Debt had not been validated.
- 36. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
  - 37. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

## COUNT II INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION

- 38. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 39. The Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b) defines intrusion upon seclusion as, "One who intentionally intrudes…upon the solitude or seclusion of another, or his private affairs or concerns, is subject to liability to the other for invasion of privacy, if the intrusion would be highly offensive to a reasonable person."
- 40. Massachusetts further recognizes the Plaintiff's right to be free from invasions of privacy, thus Defendant violated Massachusetts state law.
- 41. The Defendant intentionally intruded upon Plaintiff's right to privacy by continually harassing the Plaintiff by repeatedly calling her at home on weekdays and on weekends and by using rude, abusing and intimidating language when speaking to the Plaintiff.
- 42. The telephone calls made by Defendant to the Plaintiff were so persistent and repeated with such frequency as to be considered, "hounding the plaintiff," and, "a substantial

burden to her existence," thus satisfying the Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b) requirement for an invasion of privacy.

- 43. The conduct of the Defendant in engaging in the illegal collection activities resulted in multiple invasions of privacy in such a way as would be considered highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 44. As a result of the intrusions and invasions, the Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages in an amount to be determined at trial from Defendant.
- 45. All acts of Defendant and its agents were committed with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, Defendant is subject to punitive damages.

# COUNT III INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 46. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 47. The acts, practices and conduct engaged in by the Defendants vis-à-vis the Plaintiff was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.
- 48. The foregoing conduct constitutes the tort of intentional infliction of emotional distress under the laws of the State of Massachusetts.
- 49. All acts of Defendants and the Collectors complained of herein were committed with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, Defendants are subject to imposition of punitive damages.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

**WHEREFORE**, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendants:

- 1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against Defendants;
- Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C.
   §1692k(a)(2)(A) against Defendants;
- Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §
   1692k(a)(3) against Defendants;
- 4. Double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to M.G.L.c. 93A § 9;
- 5. Actual damages from Defendants for the all damages including emotional distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff;
- 6. Punitive damages; and
- 7. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

#### TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: February 26, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Sergei Lemberg

Sergei Lemberg (BBO# 650671) LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C. 1100 Summer Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Stamford, CT 06905 Telephone: (203) 653-2250

Facsimile: (877) 795-3666 Attorneys for Plaintiff